

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 1:25 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_BMRJ_6587

I checked again the manuscript 2013_BMRJ_6587. Further reversion is needed and the authors did not take my suggestions in several cases:

1. Line 63, please add the reference for the growth of microbes in pure oil.
2. Line 66, Add the method or related reference to measure the growth rate. You mentioned in your answer to my question that "We are not after the growth rate but this was determined to reveal the increase in growth within 5 days in the wastewater culture. We are only interested in difference in percentage weight after 24h and 12days incubation (As indicated in Table 3 and 4)". However, you reported the growth rate in the results. Therefore, the related method to measure the growth rate should be reported in the method section.
3. Lines 136-137, you mentioned that this is from a cited reference, but you did not indicate the reference.
4. Lines 136-140, Here, you only reported results of previous studies, but not make comparison with your results. You should relate the cited studies with your results, by comparison of them. Not only explain to me (in answer), but to the readers (in the text)!
5. Lines 187-193. According to the data in the previous Fig. 1, your PCR products have only single bands, therefore, the unclear sequence of the PCR products may not be from the unspecific products, but from the presence of multiple copies of 16S rRNA genes (Kang YJ, Cheng J, Mei LJ, Hu J, Piao Z, Yin SX. 2010. Multiple copies of 16s rRNA gene affect the restriction patterns and DGGE profile as revealed by analysis of genome database. *Mikrobiologiya*. 79:664-671). For example, *P. aeruginosa* strains displayed four copies.
6. Do not you think the following two sentences are repetitions?
 - 1) When compared to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, it appeared that *L. sphaericus* preferred to utilize linoleic acid [3.65%] for growth better than it would with petroselinic acid [1.63%]. (Lines 220-222)
 - 2) On the other hand, when compared to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, it appeared *L. sphaericus* preferred to utilize linoleic acid (3.65%) for growth than it would with petroselinic acid (1.63%). (Lines 237-239)
7. The format of references should be maintained in the same style. Many details should be corrected in the reference list. I just marked several cases and the authors should check all of them with patient and very carefully.

Editor's Details:

En Tao Wang

Departamento de Microbiología, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias
Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México

And

State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology and College of Biological
Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China (invited professor)

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.